Agency Name:	Administrative Law Court				
Agency Code:	C050	Section:	58		



Fiscal Year FY 2025-2026 Agency Budget Plan

OPERATING	For FY 2025-2026, my agency is (ma	ark "X"):			
REQUESTS	X Requesting General Fund Appr	,			
REQUESTS	Requesting Federal/Other Auth	orization.			
(FORM B1)	Not requesting any changes.				
ON-RECURRING	For FY 2025-2026, my agency is (ma	ark "X"):			
REQUESTS	Requesting Non-Recurring App	*			
REQUESTS	Requesting Non-Recurring Fed	eral/Other Authorization.			
(FORM B2)	X Not requesting any changes.				
CAPITAL	For EV 2025 2026 my aganay is (m.	oult "V").			
		For FY 2025-2026, my agency is (mark "X"): Requesting funding for Capital Projects.			
REQUESTS	X Not requesting any changes.				
(FORM C)					
PROVISOS	For FY 2025-2026, my agency is (ma Requesting a new proviso and/o		an mariana		
(EGDICE)	Only requesting technical provi	_			
(FORM D)	X Not requesting any proviso changes.				
ease identify your age	ncy's preferred contacts for this y	year's hudget process			
suse ruenting your age	is preferred contacts for this y	our bounger process.			
DDIM A DX7	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>		
PRIMARY	Danielle M. Cox	(803) 734-6414	dcox@scalc.net		
CONTACT:	Jana Shaaly	(902) 724 6411	ishaaly@saala nat		
CONTACT: SECONDARY	Jana Shealy	(803) 734-6411	jshealy@scalc.net		
CONTACT:	Jana Shealy	(803) 734-6411	jshealy@scalc.net		
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT:	,				
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT:	,		jshealy@scalc.net , which is complete and accurate to		
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT: ave reviewed and app	proved the enclosed FY 2025-20		, which is complete and accurate to		
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT: ave reviewed and app	,				
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT: ave reviewed and app	proved the enclosed FY 2025-20		, which is complete and accurate to		
CONTACT: SECONDARY CONTACT: ave reviewed and appropriate the second seco	proved the enclosed FY 2025-20		, which is complete and accurate to		

This form must be signed by the agency head – not a delegate.

Agency Name:	Administrative Law Court
Agency Code:	C050
Section:	58

BUDGET REQUESTS FUNDING			FTES									
Priority	Request Type	Request Title	State	Federal	Earmarked	Restricted	Total	State	Federal	Earmarked	Restricted	Total
1	B1 - Recurring	Comparable Salaries	200,100	0	0	0	200,100	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS			200,100	0	0	0	200,100	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Agency Name:	Administrative Law Court				
Agency Code:	C050	Section:	58		

FORM B1 – RECURRING OPERATING REQUEST

AGENCY
PDIODITY

1

Provide the Agency Priority Ranking from the Executive Summary.

TITLE

Comparable Salaries

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT

General: \$200,100

Federal: \$0

Other: \$0

Total: \$200,100

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2025-2026? This amount should correspond to the total for all funding sources on the Executive Summary.

NEW POSITIONS

0.00

Please provide the total number of new positions needed for this request.

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST

Mark "X" for all that apply:

Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience

Change in case load/enrollment under existing program guidelines

Non-mandated change in eligibility/enrollment for existing program

Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas

Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative

Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program

Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program

IT Technology/Security related

X HR/Personnel Related

Consulted DTO during development

Related to a Non-Recurring request - If so, Priority #

STATEWIDE ENTERPRISE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

Mark "X" for primary applicable Statewide Enterprise Strategic Objective:

Education, Training, and Human Development

Healthy and Safe Families

Maintaining Safety, Integrity, and Security

Public Infrastructure and Economic Development

Government and Citizens

ACCOUNTABILITY OF FUNDS

The allocation of the funds requested will enable the Administrative Law Court to attract and retain highly qualified personnel through competitive compensation packages. Ensuring a competent workforce is essential to fulfilling the ALC's mission of delivering fair and impartial hearings for all litigants and ensuring the timely resolution of cases.

What specific strategy, as outlined in the most recent Strategic Planning and Performance Measurement template of agency's accountability report, does this funding request support? How would this request advance that strategy? How would the use of these funds be evaluated?

RECIPIENTS OF

The allocation of the funds requested will enable the Administrative Law Court to attract and retain highly qualified personnel through competitive compensation packages. Ensuring a competent workforce is essential to fulfilling the ALC's mission

FUNDS

of delivering fair and impartial hearings for all litigants and ensuring the timely resolution of cases.

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

Attorney positions at the Administrative Law Court are critical in providing essential legal research support to Administrative Law Judges, facilitating the issuance of sound and timely decisions. This support significantly reduces the duration between case filing and disposition. In recent years, the agency has faced an unusually high turnover rate among attorneys, coupled with a scarcity of applicants for these positions. The last few years, the ALC received funding to offer competitive salaries, which has decreased turnover. However, as other State agencies increase their salaries to attract and retain talent, our compensation packages risk becoming uncompetitive. Ensuring that our salaries remain comparable is an ongoing priority for the agency.

JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The request is for \$200,100.00:

Salary increase amount: \$145,000.00

Associated fringe: \$55,100.00

Please thoroughly explain the request to include the justification for funds, potential offsets, matching funds, and method of calculation. Please include any explanation of impact if funds are not received. If new positions have been requested, explain why existing vacancies are not sufficient.

Agency Name:	Administrative Law Court				
Agency Code:	C050	Section:	58		

FORM E – AGENCY COST SAVINGS AND GENERAL FUND REDUCTION CONTINGENCY PLAN

TITLE

Agency Cost Savings and General Fund Reduction Contingency Plan

AMOUNT

\$154,156

What is the General Fund 3% reduction amount? This amount should correspond to the reduction spreadsheet prepared by EBO.

ASSOCIATED FTE REDUCTIONS

N/A

How many FTEs would be reduced in association with this General Fund reduction?

PROGRAM / ACTIVITY IMPACT

The Administrative Law Court (ALC) is a one program agency, therefore, these funds support the entire Court, including the Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings (OMVH). Our sole mission and program is to conduct contested cases, appellate and injunctive hearings filed by agencies or citizens of the State, as well as to preside over regulation hearings. As a Court, the ALC does not have direct control over how many cases are filed or the extent of the complexity of the cases. Also, the ALC does not have multiple programs or funding option to move around to absorb a general fund reduction; therefore, any reduction in funds has the potential to negatively impact the delivery of due process to the litigants appearing before the ALC and the OMVH.

What programs or activities are supported by the General Funds identified?

As state above, the ALC is a one program agency and therefore we do not have multiple programs or funding options to mover around to absorb a general fund reduction. Furthermore, approximately 92% of our budget goes to salary and fringe benefits. Thus a 3% reduction as requested and calculated by the Executive Budget Office would have a negative impact on the Court.

SUMMARY

Please provide a detailed summary of service delivery impact caused by a reduction in General Fund Appropriations and provide the method of calculation for anticipated reductions. Agencies should prioritize reduction in expenditures that have the least significant impact on service delivery.

AGENCY COST SAVINGS PLANS

The approximate 8% of our budget is used for operating and administration of the court which leaves no room for a reduction of over \$50,000, let alone almost \$ 155,000. Operating expenses for the Court include rent, court reporters, computers, copiers, postage, and Westlaw payments which are vital expenses that would prohibit the Court from functioning if they were eliminated due to our budget being reduced by \$154,156.00.

What measures does the agency plan to implement to reduce its costs and operating expenses by more than \$50,000? Provide a summary of the measures taken and the estimated amount of savings. How does the agency plan to repurpose the savings?